

Theory of quantum dot cavity-QED

-- LO-phonon induced cavity feeding and antibunching of thermal radiation --

Alexander Carmele, Julia Kabuss, Marten Richter, Andreas Knorr, and Weng W. Chow



I: Introduction and Motivation

II: Mathematical induction method

III: Application: LO-phonon induced cavity feeding

IV: Application: LO-phonon induced antibunching

V: Conclusion



- Cavity-QED with an atom, solved by the Jaynes-Cummings model ¹:
- □ Isolated two-level system (no losses)
- □ One-electron assumption
- □ One interaction: electron-light



"The simplest fully quantized model of interest" (J.H. Eberly)

¹E. Jaynes and F. Cummings, Proc.IEEE 51, 89 (1963)



- Cavity-QED with an atom, solved by the Jaynes-Cummings model ¹:
- □ Isolated two-level system (no losses)

 $H_0 = \hbar \omega_0 c^{\dagger} c + \hbar \omega_v a_v^{\dagger} a_v + \hbar \omega_c a_c^{\dagger} a_c$

- □ One-electron assumption
- □ One interaction: electron-light



"The simplest fully quantized model of interest" (J.H. Eberly)

$$\langle a_c^{\dagger} a_c \rangle(t) = \cos^2(M\sqrt{N+1}t)$$

¹E. Jaynes and F. Cummings, Proc.IEEE 51, 89 (1963)



Advantageous semiconductor QD properties for future technological applications in microcavity systems:

□ Fixed emitter position,

Cavity-emitter system ultra-small,

□ tailorable coupling strength and optical properties



Semiconductor QD cavity-QED: Theoretical simulations for device optimization are desirable

BUT: more interactions need to be considered



03.01.2011

Slide: 5





$$H = \hbar \omega_v a_v^{\dagger} a_v + \hbar \omega_c a_c^{\dagger} a_c - \hbar \Omega(t) (a_v^{\dagger} a_c + a_c^{\dagger} a_v) + \hbar \omega_0 c^{\dagger} c - \hbar M (a_v^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger} + a_c^{\dagger} a_v c) + \hbar \sum_q \omega_{LO} b_q^{\dagger} b_q + a_c^{\dagger} a_c \left(g_q^c b_q + g_q^{c*} b_q^{\dagger} \right) + a_v^{\dagger} a_v \left(g_q^v b_q + g_q^{v*} b_q^{\dagger} \right)$$

QD, assumed as a 2-level system with one electron,

interacts with the cavity photons, bulk LO-phonons, a classical pump field



for every possible combination of phonon, photon, and electron operators:

 $\begin{aligned} G^{p,s}_{m,n} &:= a_v^{\dagger} a_v c^{\dagger p} c^s \bar{b}^{\dagger m} \bar{b}^n \\ E^{p,s}_{m,n} &:= a_c^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger p} c^s \bar{b}^{\dagger m} \bar{b}^n \\ T^{p,s}_{m,n} &:= a_v^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger p} c^s \bar{b}^{\dagger m} \bar{b}^n \end{aligned}$



for every possible combination of phonon, photon, and electron operators:

$$\begin{split} G^{p,s}_{m,n} &:= a_v^{\dagger} a_v c^{\dagger p} c^s \bar{b}^{\dagger m} \bar{b}^n \\ E^{p,s}_{m,n} &:= a_c^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger p} c^s \bar{b}^{\dagger m} \bar{b}^n \\ T^{p,s}_{m,n} &:= a_v^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger p} c^s \bar{b}^{\dagger m} \bar{b}^n \end{split}$$

Using product rule for operators:

$$\partial_t \left(a_c^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger} db_q^{\dagger} b_q \right) = \left(\partial_t \left[a_c^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger} d \right] b_q^{\dagger} b_q + c^{\dagger} c \left(\partial_t \left[a_c^{\dagger} a_c b_q^{\dagger} b_q \right] \right) \right)$$

and generalized commutation relations:

[A, F(B)] = [A, B]F'(B)



for every possible combination of phonon, photon, and electron operators:

$$\begin{split} G^{p,s}_{m,n} &:= a_v^{\dagger} a_v c^{\dagger p} c^s \bar{b}^{\dagger m} \bar{b}^n \\ E^{p,s}_{m,n} &:= a_c^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger p} c^s \bar{b}^{\dagger m} \bar{b}^n \\ T^{p,s}_{m,n} &:= a_v^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger p} c^s \bar{b}^{\dagger m} \bar{b}^n \end{split}$$

Using product rule for operators:

$$\partial_t \left(a_c^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger} d b_q^{\dagger} b_q \right) = \left(\partial_t \left[a_c^{\dagger} a_c c^{\dagger} d \right] b_q^{\dagger} b_q \right) + c^{\dagger} c \left(\partial_t \left[a_c^{\dagger} a_c b_q^{\dagger} b_q \right] \right)$$

and generalized commutation relations:

[A, F(B)] = [A, B]F'(B)

their dynamics can be calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle T_{m,n}^{p,s} \rangle &= \\ &= -i \left[\omega_{cv} - (p-s)\omega_0 - (m-n)\omega_{LO} - i(p+s)\kappa - i\gamma \right] \langle T_{m,n}^{p,s} \rangle \\ &- ip \ M \langle E_{m,n}^{p-1,s} \rangle - iM(\langle E_{m,n}^{p,s+1} \rangle - \langle G_{m,n}^{p,s+1} \rangle) - i\Omega(t) \left(\langle E_{m,n}^{p,s} \rangle - \langle G_{m,n}^{p,s} \rangle \right) \\ &- i \ \langle T_{m,n+1}^{p,s} \rangle - i \ \langle T_{m+1,n}^{p,s} \rangle + i \ m \ g_v \ \langle T_{m-1,n}^{p,s} \rangle - i \ n \ g_c \ \langle T_{m,n-1}^{p,s} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$



For example, in the case of LO-phonon assisted vacuum Rabi oscillations (E_{00}^{11} = 0) :





LO-phonon induced cavity feeding



Probing with δ -pulse at 300K:

$$\alpha(\omega) \propto \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\left\langle T_{0,0}^{0,0} \right\rangle(\omega)}{\rho_0^T}\right]$$



Theoretical Physics







- (i) Temperature dependence of frequency weak, but affects amplitude strongly
- (ii) Rabi frequency now determined by the LO-phonon coupling strength, also

¹ in preparation (2011)



$$\langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(t) - \langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(0) = \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1 2 \mathrm{Im} \left[M \langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_1) \right]$$





$$\langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(t) - \langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(0) = \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1 2 \mathrm{Im} \left[M \langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_1) \right]$$



 $\langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_1) - \langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(0) = e^{i\Delta t_1} \int_0^{t_1} \mathrm{d}t_2 e^{-i\Delta t_2} \left[-iM \langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(t_2) - iM \langle E_{00}^{11} \rangle(t_2) + iM \langle P_{00}^{11} \rangle(t_2) - i \langle T_{10}^{10} \rangle(t_2) - i \langle T_{01}^{10} \rangle(t_2) \right]$



$$\langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(t) - \langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(0) = \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1 2 \mathrm{Im} \left[M \langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_1) \right]$$



$$\langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_1) - \langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(0) = e^{i\Delta t_1} \int_0^{t_1} \mathrm{d}t_2 e^{-i\Delta t_2} \left[-iM \langle E_{00}^{00}(t_2) - iM \langle E_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_2) + iM \langle P_{10}^{10} \rangle(t_2) - i \langle T_{10}^{10} \rangle(t_2) - i \langle$$



$$\langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(t) - \langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(0) = \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1 2 \mathrm{Im} \left[M \langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_1) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{c} E_{10}^{00} \leftrightarrow E_{00}^{00} \leftarrow E_{01}^{00} \leftarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow & \downarrow \uparrow & \downarrow \uparrow \\ \hline T_{10}^{10} \leftarrow T_{00}^{10} \Leftarrow T_{01}^{10} \leftarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow & \downarrow \uparrow & \downarrow \uparrow \\ P_{11}^{11} & P_{01}^{11} & P_{01}^{11} \end{array}$$

$$\langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_1) - \langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(0) = e^{i\Delta t_1} \int_0^{t_1} \mathrm{d}t_2 e^{-i\Delta t_2} \left[-iM \langle E_{00}^{00}(t_2) - iM \langle E_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_2) + iM \langle P_{10}^{10} \rangle(t_2) - i \langle T_{10}^{10} \rangle(t_2) - i \langle T_{00}^{10} \rangle(t_2) - i \langle$$

$$\langle E_{10}^{00} \rangle(t_3) - \langle E_{10}^{00} \rangle(0) = e^{i\omega_{LO}t_3} \int_0^{t_3} \mathrm{d}t_4 e^{-i\omega_{LO}t_4} \left[-iM \langle T_{10}^{00}(t_4) + iM \langle T_{11}^{10} \rangle^*(t_4) - i(\sum_q |g_{vc}^q|^2) \langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(t_4) \right]$$

$$\langle E_{00}^{00} \rangle(t) - 1 = \left[-M^2 \frac{g_{\text{eff}}^2}{\omega_{\text{LO}}^2} \right] t^2 = -\Omega^2 t^2 \qquad \qquad \Omega \approx \frac{M g_{\text{eff}}}{\omega_{LO}}$$



LO-phonon enhanced anti-bunching



Quantum beating at resonance position (1):

Vacuum Rabi oscillations:





Thermal state:

for a cavity field, prepared initially in the thermal state

 $\widehat{\begin{array}{c}} \widehat{} \\ \widehat{\phantom{a$

Impact small on Rabi oscillations



Theory of quantum dot cavity-QED: LO-phonon induced antibunching of thermal radiation and cavity feeding Quantum beating at resonance position



Quantum beating at resonance position (1):

Intensity-intensity correlation function:



$$g^{(2)}(t, \tau = 0) = \frac{\langle c^{\dagger} c^{\dagger} c c \rangle}{\langle c^{\dagger} c \rangle^2}$$

Thermal state:

for a cavity field, prepared initially in the thermal state

Impact strong on correlation function:





Impact on intensity-intensity correlation function mean value:

 $\bar{g}^{(2)} := \frac{1}{T_a} \int_0^{T_a} \mathrm{d}t \ g^{(2)}(t,0)$



Cavity field is transformed into a nonclassical field via LO-phonon interaction¹

Semiconductor environment enforces non-classical light features

¹ PRL **104**, 156801 (2010)



Systems, for which the induction method is successfully applied:



- 1. QD as a two-level system with one-electron (photon statistics)¹
- 2. QD as a three-level system (quantum coherence)²
- 3. QD as a four-level system with two electrons (biexciton cascade)³

¹ PRL **104**, 156801 (2010) ² PSSB, accepted (2010) ³ PRB **81**, 195319 (2010)



Systems, for which the induction method is successfully applied:



- 1. QD as a two-level system with one-electron (photon statistics)¹
- 2. QD as a three-level system (quantum coherence)²
- 3. QD as a four-level system with two electrons (biexciton cascade)³

Thank you for your attention!!

¹ PRL **104**, 156801 (2010) ² PSSB, accepted (2010) ³ PRB **81**, 195319 (2010)



Theory for strongly coupled quantum dot cavity quantum electrodynamics

-- Photon statistics and phonon signatures in quantum light emission --





